



2681  
Docket No. YOR920000311(1963-5013)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant(s): Paul Andrew MOSKOWITZ, et al.

Group Art Unit: 2681

Serial No.: 09/846,830

Examiner: TBA

Filed: May 1, 2001

For: SYSTEM AN METHOD FOR MAKING TELECOMMUNICATION CALLS

RECEIVED  
SEP 27 2001  
Technology Center 2600

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 C.F.R. §1.8(a))**

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

RECEIVED  
SEP 27 2001

I hereby certify that the attached:

Technology Center 2100

1. Preliminary Amendment (in duplicate) (3 sheets); and
2. Return Receipt Postcard

along with any paper(s) referred to as being attached or enclosed and this Certificate of Mailing are being deposited with the United States Postal Service on date shown below with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to the: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C., 20231.

Respectfully submitted,  
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.

Dated: September 19, 2001

By: 

Mark J. Abate  
Registration No. 32,527

**Correspondence Address:**

MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.  
345 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10154-0053  
(212) 758-4800 Telephone  
(212) 751-6849 Facsimile



Docket No. YOR920000311(1963-5013)

5A  
10/25/9  
Gordon

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant(s): Paul Andrew MOSKOWITZ, et al.

Group Art Unit: 2681

**RECEIVED**

Serial No.: 09/846,830

SEP 27 2001

Examiner: TBA

Filed: May 1, 2001

Technology Center 2100

For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MAKING TELECOMMUNICATION CALLS

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**RECEIVED**

SEP 27 2001

**PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT**

Technology Center 2600

Sir:

The following amendments are requested to correct the specification to conform with the drawings. Please amend the application as follows:

**IN THE SPECIFICATION**

a1 Page 4, line 5 (Amended) Figs. 6A and 6B illustrate the functionality of the ambiguity resolving process of Fig. 5 in more detail.

a2 Page 14, line 11 (Amended) Figs. 6A and 6B is a flowchart detailing ambiguity resolving step 506.

a3 Page 15, line 3 (Amended) Turning to Fig. 6B, in step 606 it is determined whether converter 211, 212 or 213 was able to successfully determine the necessary parameter.

a4 Page 20, line 6 (Amended) In step 609 of Fig. 6B, if the value obtained for the parameter does not correspond to a telephone number, flow proceeds to step 607 where converter 211, 212 or 213 logs the error.